

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,945	09/24/2003		Christina Kay Booker	31274/82679	4790
7	590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
Barnes & Tho			AVERY, BRIDGET D		
600 One Summ				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Wayne, IN 46802				ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
				3618	
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/669,945	BOOKER, CHRISTINA KAY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bridget Avery	3618				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ma</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10,11 and 15-53</u> is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Po					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed by applicant on March 27, 2006 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-3, 5-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward (US Patent 5,203,613) in view of Posey (US Patent 2,851,033).

Ward teaches a shopping cart including a restraining device very similar in function and structure to applicant's. The restraining apparatus has shoulder straps with an adjustable clasp, buckle or clip and ends having snap fasteners. Ward further teaches a waist belt (16A) and a crotch member (17).

Ward lacks the teaching of a chest panel.

Posey teaches a restraining apparatus for restraining a person in a seat, the restraining apparatus including: first and second shoulder straps (19, 20) positionable over the person's shoulders, each of the first and second straps (19, 20) having first and second ends; the first ends of the first and second shoulder straps (19, 20) are selectively attachable to a first horizontal support strap member (11); a chest panel (10);

Application/Control Number: 10/669,945

Art Unit: 3618

the second ends of the first and second shoulder straps (19, 20) are attached via connector links (17, 18) to the chest panel (10); first and second belt segments, each having first and second ends such that the first ends of each of the first and second belt segments (as clearly shown for belly strap 15) are attached to the chest panel (10); the first and second belt segments extend from the chest panel (10) and are positionable about the torso and a chair back (as described in column 1, lines 22-36, column 2, lines 2-11 and shown in Figures 1 and 2); and the second ends of the first ands second belt segments are selectively attachable to each other via buckle (16). Re claim 5, the first and second shoulder straps are adjustable (via buckles 23, 24) with respect to the chest panel (10), as clearly stated in column 2, lines 20-22 and 35-37. Re claim 6, at least one of the first and second belt segments being adjustable (via buckle (16) with respect to the chest panel. Re claim 13, the shoulder straps (19, 20) are positioned substantially parallel to each other. Re claim 14, see first support strap (11), as described in column 1, lines 65-67 and column 2, lines 15-20.

Based on the teachings of Posey, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to replace the restraining apparatus of Ward with an apparatus that has a chest strap to secure the user in a sitting position with safety and without fear of falling/tipping over. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to construct the restraining apparatus to have detachable ends instead of sewn ends to facilitate use of the restraining apparatus in several different situations. It would have been obvious to attach an adjustable crotch strap having selectively attachable ends, like the crotch strap taught by Ward in column

Application/Control Number: 10/669,945

Art Unit: 3618

5, lines 44-51, to the chest panel of the restraining apparatus of Posey to prevent the

Page 4

user from sliding forwards and to prevent injury. Re claim 9, the member or strap

joining the crotch member to the seat and the connector links attaching the shoulder

straps to the chest panel are functionally equivalent to applicant's claimed clasp,

therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a

clasp for a member or adjoining strap and connector links.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward

('613) and Posey ('033) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Girardin (US

Patent 6,547,334).

The combination of Ward and Posey teach the features described above.

The combination of Ward and Posey lack the teaching of padding.

Girardin teaches padded areas (30, 31).

Based on the teaching of Girardin, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the chest panel to

included padded material to protect the user against chafing.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 12-14 have been

considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/669,945

Art Unit: 3618

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at

telephone number 571-272-6691.

June 1, 2006

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 5